

SENATOR FELLMAN: I appear Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature as the spokesman and mouthpiece for Senator Luedtke, who is evidently no longer able to handle controversial measures. This is a committee bill which is the result of a rather lengthy set of hearings over the summer on the interim study on the marriage and divorce laws. This includes within it a number of minor modifications of the divorce law which was passed a couple of years ago. The no-fault divorce law. Most of those modifications were rather technical in nature. It adds to the existing divorce laws provisions for a payroll deduction for child support which is in arrears and unpaid and sets up a procedure where there is a hearing for all parties involved and the provisions for this payroll deduction for child support are limited to child support that is behind, then the child the deduction terminates once the child support becomes up-to-date. I move the introduction of the bill on behalf of the Judiciary Committee.

PRESIDENT: Is there any further discussion of the motion? Alright then the question is, shall the rules be suspended and the bill introduced. All those in favor will vote aye those opposed will vote no. This is for the suspension of the rules and the introduction of the bill as outlined by Senator Fellman. The motion is to suspend the rules and introduce the bill as outlined by Senator Fellman. That does still require 30 votes. Have you all voted? Clerk will record.

CLERK: 31 ayes, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Alright the bill will be introduced. Clerk will read the title.

CLERK: Read LB1015. Bills 127, postponed LB739, 742, 743, 744, 745, 747, and 748 placed on General File.

PRESIDENT: Do we have the Murphy Rules Change on the desk yet?

CLERK: I.....

PRESIDENT: Senator Simpson for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR SIMPSON: Mr. President, I would like to point a personal privilege.

PRESIDENT: State your point Senator.

SENATOR SIMPSON: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, it was brought to my attention that some of the problem having to do with the trucking situation in this country has been put back to the state. I'm not sure exactly what that portion of the responsibility is, and other than the fact that they are asking state organizations of government to attempt to put the independent truckers back on the road to get them moving again. Now, I have talked with some of the independent truckers and I have been sympathetic with their position and some of the things that they are faced with that have them off of the road other than the violence that is causing them to fear for their lives, and the good of the truck that they are driving which they themselves own along with the bank or finance company or something, these people have a large stake when they are out and going day to day trying to contract for business and pay a large payment on the truck. They pay insurance and taxes and a big license fee and also try to make a living for themselves and their families and now they are faced with the rising cost of the diesel fuel. Just a few